Judge Neilson-Well.

stated it ! A. As what, Str1

Judge Nellson-I do not-

say, and-

I don't know why I didn't: I didn't.

Q. Didn't you think it important! Mr. Beach—What is his answer!

Continued from Fourth Page. charges until I saw them incorporated in Mr. Tilton'

est statement; that is my present recollection.

Q. Had you, before you were appointed on that Committee, become aware that Mrs. Bradshaw's name was

ed to these charges in connection with this speci an Now if it please your Honor, I hav

allowed this without objection to go on a long way. Mr. Morris—I thank you for that. rman-I do not know in what re

ial, or what Mr. Cleveland's knowledge went on this Committee has to do with it at all. If the can suggest any reason, I am willing to be in tructed. How does it bear on Mr. Beech on-I do not see. The only idea is that

proper. Sometimes you inquire as to the knowledge and information of the witness, with a view to somethin Mr. Shearman-Well, I ask respectfully that the get

tleman state what the material point is to which he proposes to come. We have spent some twenty minutes of this, and I cannot see the materiality of it yet. Mr. Morris-That is not my fault.

Mr. Shearman-I did not object to it in the first place in hopes that he would get through with it, and save time; but now I do object to it unless some statement

Judge Neilson-Counsel cannot aiways state in cross examination-cannot always very well state what he expects to arrive at.

Mr. Shearman-If I could imagine any, I would not ob Mr. Morris-I am not responsible for the gentleman'

imagination, or want of it. Judge Neilson-Proceed. Mr. Morris-Now, I ask you if this that I am about read was not the subject of general talk among the mem bers of the congregation prior to the 26th of June, 1874

[Reading:] At an interview with Mrs. Bradshaw, in Thompson's dining-rooms in Cluton-st., on or about the 2d of August, 1879, Theodore Tilton stated that he had discovered that a criminal intimacy existed between his wife and Mr. Beecher. Afterward, in November, 1872, referring to the above conversation, Mr. Tilton sald to Mrs. Bradshaw that he retracted none of the accusations which he had formerly made against Mr. Beecher.

Witness-Mrs. Andrew Bradshaw. Q. Now, wasn't that the subject of general conversation

smong the members of the church prior to the 26th of Suns, 1874 1 Mr. Tracy-I object to that.

Judge Neilson-I think we will take it on cress-exam Mr. Tracy-I did not hear the last observation of your

Judge Neilson-I think we will take it; it is on cross Mr. Tracy-Supposing it is, your Honor. This is an at-

tempt to prove the fact that a particular charge was the subject of general conversation in Plymouth Church Now, is that legitimate evidence in this case? Is it a fact that is proper to be proved in that way, that a particular fact was the subject of general conversation t Judge Netlson-To show that it came to the knowledge

the witness; that is the vital point. Mr. Tracy—They may ask him when it first came to h knowledge, if that is material?

Judge Neilson-He was asking it a moment ago. Mr. Trucy-That is not the question; they ask the

witness when he first learned of it. They are seeking by this question to prove that the particular fact was th antiject of general conversation in the society. Now, I submit that that is not competent evidence. It is composent to prove the fact. Judge Neilson-A little while ago the counsel did as

him when it first came to his knowledge; and now he asks him, I suppose, with a view of helping him to that Mr. Tracy-But if he has answered the question-the

witness has answered that. Judge Neilson-He don't tell us when it first came to his knowledge.

Mr. Moreis-We haven't got an answer to that ret. an making this preparatory to repeating that question

Mr. Tracy-Then, I suppose, your Hopor, if the witness is interrogated as to the general conversations, we are permitted to know what the general conversations were Mr. Shearman-We are willing to take this, provided we are permitted to show the conversations and what was said about Mr. Tilton in Plymouth Church.

Judge Neilson-It would not open the door to that. Mr. Morris [to the Witness] When, Mr. Cleveland 4st this charge that I have read first come to you

Mr. Tracy - That I don't object to.

Judge Neilson-Well.
The Witness-Probably during the Summer.

Q. What Summer! A. 1873, if that was the year

Q. Yes: during the Summer of 1873. Well, Mr. Cleve end, when did this Committee first meet ! A. Had an informal conference, four members of it, on Sunday, the

Q. And where was that informal conference held? A. A. Augustus Storm's, in Mouroe-place.

Q. Any statements made-any testimony taken? A. No Q. When was the next meeting of the Committee!

There were several informal meetings during that week Q. That is not my question; when was the next meeting! A. The first regular formal meeting for business was on the 10th of July. Q. Was that my question? A. You asked me when the

emmittee next met. Q. You say there was a meeting on the 28th-Sunday

night, the 28th; I ask you when the next meeting was did not ask you for any formal or informal meeting Now, when did they meet, subsequent to that, next !

The Witness-I replied that-well, on Menday night. nday night; and who were pres

on Monday night! A. I cannot recollect. Sir. Q. As near as you can recollect ?

Mr. Tracy-I object to that question, your Honor. I desire to raise the question now whether we are to have every meeting of the Investigating Committee, and wha transpired on the meeting, I suppose, the fact being that the proceedings of that Committee are entirely immaterial here as a committee. Anything that the counsel wants to inquire about that this witness did as a committeeman, he is at liberty to inquire about; but I subthat it is not worth while for us to spend the time of the Court in investigating the Investigating Committee, or in taking evidence as to the number of their meetings I have not the slightest objection, make none, to any in quiry as to what this witness did as a committeeman and. In that I concede they have the fully In that I concede they have the fullest scope.

Mr. Beach-This would seem to be within that scope Mr. Morris-Who was present at that meeting on Mon

dur evening! Mr. Tracy-At the time when the Committee met; or who were present at each of these meetings! That is the point of my objection. I submit that if he was present, you may show anything that he did or anything that he said as a committeeman.

Judge Neilson-If that were so, you could show the

ime when and who also were present.

Mr. Tracy -I don't think you could. All I care about it

is this, to know whether the time of the Court is to be taken to go through with each meeting of the Committee I suppose the rule to be that the only object of this inquiry is to show the bins of this witness. It can have no legitimate purpose than that; and if that is the obsect, they can inquire as to what this witness did as a an, or anywhere else, in any capacity that he has acted affecting this case. To that we have not the st objection at all. Judge Neilson-That may be the object; I cannot tell.

Beach-I submit that that Committee and its actions has altogether a broader scope than the counse

Mr. Morris-It has. We don't narrow if down to any such simple compass as that. I am glad to see the interent of counsel upon the other side as to the time of the

Mr. Tracy-No interest about it.

Mr. Morris-As to the time of the Court, whenever w

have a witness we wish to examine; but they seem to forget it when it is their own case. I think they have taken up a good deal more time than we have with mut ters that your Honor has suggested were immaterial. Mr. Shearman-I only suggest that the Court should mit the counsel in the line of his examination; that

Mr. Morris-The question is perfectly proper and per-

feetly legitimate.

Judge Nellson-I think the witness can answer when the next meeting was

Mr. Morris-He says Monday night. The Witness-Monday night.

Mr. Morris-Now, who were present? that is the ques Ton. A. All the members of the Committee, I think, but Mr. White ; that is my recollection, I mean.

Q. Was a formal organization of the Committee the affected! A. On Monday or Tuesday evening, I think, Q. On that night I am speaking about.

The Witness-I am not certain whether it was tha that or the next.

Q. Then there was a meeting on Tuesday night again, at which there was a formal organization, if it was no effected on Monday! A. I do not recollect that ther Q. Very well. You say the formal organization was

offected Monday night, or the following night; that would be Tuesday, wouldn't it? A. If it was not on Monday night, it was on Tuesday night; I forget— Q. Now, were any other persons present except the centlemen that you have named—members of the Comittee! A. Yes, Sir.

Q. Who! A. The stenographer, Mr. Ellinwo Who else! any one else! A. I do not recollect that y one else was present that evening. Q. Any of the counsel there? A. My recollection

that they were not there. Q. Well, on Tuesday night, who were present? A. I do not recollect about the Tuesday night meeting. Q. When was the next meeting! A. I do not recollect the interviews of that week.

Q. Can you state when the next meeting of the Commit ce was! A. I do not recollect. Q. You had a meeting on the 6th of July! A. We did not have a business meeting.

Q. Mr. Cleveland, didn't the Committee meet at Mr. Ovington's house on July the 6th ! A. Five members of

Q. That was a Committee, wasn't it! A. That was

Q. And the Committee had then been organized ! A The Committee had appointed a Chairman.

Q. Yes, it was organized—the Committee—a regular nittee! A. Organized.

Q. Very well, and you went to Ovington's on July the 6th ! A. We did. Q. Was Mr. Elliawood, the stenographer, there! A.

Q. Now, who were the five persons there-what mer

ers of the Committee! A. All but Mr. White, I think. Q. All but Mr. White. And who of the counsel! Gen. Tracy was there.

Q. Yes; who else! A. I do not recollect. Q. Mr. Hill! A. I do not recollect whether he

Q. Mr. Shearman ! A. I do not recollect. Q. Well, Mrs. Tilton was before the Committee that night! A. Mrs. Tilton was before the Committee. Q. Yes; she made a statement! A. She did.

Q. And Mr. Ellinwood, the shorthand reporter, tool down ! A. I think so; I have forgot about it. Q. And the Committee met there for the purpose of searing her statement, didn't they! A. The Committee

went there to meet her. Q. For that express purpose! A. Yes, Sir. Q. And now do you state again that the first form eting of that Committee was on the 11th of July ! A No. Sir.

Q. You correct that; you said a while ago that it was the 11th. A. I beg your pardon; I said it was on the 10th. Q. The 10th; well, do you now say that the first formaneeting of that Committee was on the 10th of July? A

I say so distinctly. Q. You dot Well, you say you went to Ovington's-th Committee did—for the purpose of taking Mrs. Tilton's statement! A. We went to Mrs.—
Q. The Chairman had been appointed; the stenographer

was there, and counsel were there, and her statement wa taken. Well, we will have it stand at that. Mr. Shearman-Better ask the question, it seems to m Mr. Morris-No. I will let it stand there. July 6th-

what day of the week was that! A. I think that was o Monday, Sir. Q. What time in the evening did the Committee I can't state the time. Q. [Continuing] Commence taking the statement! A

don't recollect the precise time. Mr. Tracy-Whose statement are you referring to !

THE COMMITTEE AND MRS. TILTON'S STATE MENT. Mr. Morris-Mrs. Tilton's. [To the witness

That statement, as made there that night, has never bepublished, has it ! A. It has not, Sir, by itself. Q. Have you seen the stenographer's minutes of it?

I never have. Q. Do you know what became of them! A. I do not. Q. Were not the stenographers directed at the co iusion of your investigation to deliver up their notes to the Committee ! A. I presume so, Sir; I do not recollec-

Q. And what has the Committee done with them! A They are in the hands of the Examining Committee o Plymouth Church. Q. All the statements made before that Committee ! A

not swear that all of them are; I know of no excep tion, and yet I don't know--Q. De you know who delivered them to the Examining nittee! A. I do not. Q. Was there any action taken by your Committee upo

subject-anyone directed to do that? A. My im pression is they were delivered to the clerk of the church o be handed over to the Examining Committee.

Q. And who was the clerk of the church?

A. Thom

Q. Well, did the Committee direct him to hand then over to the Examining Committee? A. The Committee

- dimeted by their appointment to make its return to the Examining Committee. Q. No, no, that is not the question. A. I do not recol

out that they did. Q. Was there any action taken by the Committee upon that subject ! A. I do not recollect any.

Q. Why do you say that your impression is that Me nearman was directed to hand them over to the Com mittee of the church ! A. I did not say that Mr. Shear man was directed; I said my impression was they wer banded—given to Mr. Shearman to be delivered to the

Q. Given to him by whom ? A. By the Committee Q. Well, which member of the Committee ! A. I have o recollection about that. Q. You have no recollection upon the subject at all ! A

The notes must have gone from the stenographer. Q. I do not want your reasoning; but have you any rec ellection ! A. I have none.

Q. No recollection that they were delivered to Mr. Shearman at all 1 A. I have no knowledge of it. Q. You have no knowledge of it ! A. I have not.

Have you no recollection ! A. I have not. Q. No recollection 1 A. None. Q. And no knowledge !

Mr. Trucy-Well, that is four times he has said that. Q. Why did you refer to him then as the person. A ause, Sir, by virtue of the condition of the appointment of the Investigating Committee, it was to make its ex amination and find its results, and report to the Examin ing Committee of Plymouth Church. Mr. Shearman was Clerk of the church, and while I recollect nothing about

Q. Was he Clerk of the Examining Committee! Mr. Beach—Wait a moment, let us hear him. The Witness-He was Clerk of Plymouth Church. M. sion about it is, and that is simply an impression

that that matter was sent to the Examining Committee through the Clerk, the proper officer of the church.

Q. Is there a Clerk of the Examining Committee lent of the Clerk of the church? A. Yes, Sir. Q. Who was that person-what is his name! A. think Mr. Talmage was then ; I forget.

MR. TILTON BEFORE THE COMMITTEE. Q. Well, when was the next meeting of the ommittee after the 6th ! A. 10th of July. Q. 10th of July; and where was that meeting held

. That was at Augustus Storrs's. Q. And who were present there? A. I think the full Committee, but it would be impossible for me to swear at any meeting for 30 days or 60 days, to the full meet ing of the Committee.

Q. Who was before the Committee that night 1 A. The-Q. What time did he get to the Committee ! A.

ild say at 9 o'clock in the evening. Q. How long did he remain there ! A. Well, I cannot say; from one to two hours, are or less.

Q. Did you receive a communication while he

fore the Committee from any person ! A. I did.
Q. From whom ! A. Mrs. Ovington. What was it ! A. I cannot state the details of it.

ourse. What has that got to do with Mr. Tilton or Mr

Q. A note! A. A note; yes, Sir. Have you that note? A. I have not. Q. You don't know where it is? A. I don't Q. Now, give us the purport of that note ? Mr. Shearman-We object to that, your Honor,

Judge Neilson-I don't see what it has to do, myself Mr. Morris-Well, I can state to your Honor very clear and plainly what it has to do. It is simply in the direct line of proof that we have already given upon that suf ject that has been gone into. I propose to connect it with the fact of Mrs. Tilten's abandoning her home th next morning, simultaneously with the announcement of this Committee, because no public knowledge-there was no public knowledge of the existence of the Committee to that. I propose to show that it was a part of the aspiracy, entered into deliberately, as we will show, to get Mrs. Tilton to abandon her home, and get posses

of her before the Committee was announced, and this is a link in the chain of that evidence.

Mr. Evarts—The trouble is, if your Honor please, that no chain, however strong, between Mrs. Ovington and Mr. Cleveland is a part of any such link that affects us.

Mr. Evarts-Well, that is my proposition to have it een now; now is the time to see it Mr. Morris-Well; I think it is quite apparent

Mr. Morris-We will see about that.

Judge Neilson-Well, it was a note by Mrs. Ovington re will see what it is; see what the note is. Mr. Morris-Now, what was the purport of that note!

Mr. Evarta-We object, if your Honor please, to this Mr. Evarts-And except to the admission of it Mr. Morris-What was the purport of it ! A. My recol--my positive recollection about that note is the

Q. Precisely, and did you convey information to her that he was before the Committee that night ! A. I did ; I conveyed some information; I have forgot what I said

Q. Didn't you convey to her the information that Theo fore Tilton was before the Committee ! A. I think I did:

yes, Sir. Q. Have you any doubt about that! A. I haven't, eally, if that is the question.

Mr. Morris-That is the question The Witness-If that was her question, I mean Mr. Morris-Wasn't it her question ! A. I don't re

Q. Do you mean to say that you have any doubts upon that ! A. No doubts, no doubts; it is not of any con quence. Q. Mr. Tilton was present there when you received this note, and when you sent the answer-he was not aware of the fact, was he! A. I don't know about that.

Q. What do you think about it ! A. Well, I presume Q. No-well, that was on the night of the 10th ! That was the night of the 10th; yes, Sir. Q. Well, the next morning Mrs. Tilton left didn't she! A. I don't know, Sir.

Q. You have so understood it, haven't you! A. I have no knowledge on that point. Q. You have so understood it, haven't you! A. I supose she did, Sir. Q. And next morning appeared the letter calling upon

Committee to act, in the public press, didn't ist A. Saturday morning, the 11th. Q. Yes, Saturday morning, the 11th; and that was the trst public announcement that had ever been made of the existence of that Committee, wasn't it! A. That was

Mr. Evarts-If your Honor will allow me to suggest t my learned friend, he is not drawing out now any new natters of proof-I mean in these last few questions-but only referring to things that are in proof; and reasoning only read with the witness, without any proper applica-tion to the present functions of getting testimony from the witness. Well, in ordinary cases, it is not of any great importance, of course; but this gentleman is suffer ing from very severe pain; and really if we could omit expostulating or arguing ad hominem with him about facts that have been proved from other sources-without aquiry to the cause of the plaintiff-it is desirable i hould be done.

Judge Neilson-It is desirable, certainly, to abbre-Mr. Morris-I do not propose to take any unnecessary

me with this witness at all. Mr. Evarts-I suppose you have your purposes.

Mr. Morris-We have been willing to accommodate nouse, and had several sessions for that purpose; bu they expressed their preference to have the witness co-Mr. Evarts-I suggest that the time should be devote

o getting facts out of this witness, not arguing about that other people have proved. Judge Neilson-The date of Mrs. Tilton's leaving.

Mr. Beach-I think not, Sir. I don't think we had any knowledge of this from Mrs. Ovington, or any knowledge that Saturday, the 11th, was the first public announce ment of this Committee. Mr. Evarts-Oh, yes, we have all that.

Mr. Morris-Well, now, Mr. Evarts, I do not understand that you have objected to. Judge Nelison-The suggestion of Mr. Evarts is that

his witness, in his present state of health, would natu lly feel disposed to be relieved as soon as you can. Mr. Morris-Whenever the witness indicates that ! desires to leave, why we can adjourn. But the witnes has made no indication yet at all, and I think the witnes understands his condition better than the counsel does Now, Mr. Cleveland, intermediate the publication of the Bacon letter and the announcement of the appointme of the Committee on the 11th of July, there had be great anxiety expressed, had there not, publicly, in regard to Mr. Beecher's silence with reference to the Baco

letter! A. I don't know about that, I am sure. Q. Don't you know that it was the subject of almo niversal comment in the press ! Mr. Shearman-Well, if your Honor please, I submit what has this got to do with this issue or with the coduct of this witness, and in what way is it connected

with Mr. Beecher! What has it got to do with the case I object. It seems to me that air those questions are Judge Neilson-The course fact, merely the general fact.

Mr. Shearman-He has had one answer; why is he n satisfied with that? But even that one answer, I don't see what it has to do with the case. Judge Neilson-Go on, Mr. Morris. Let us see what

Mr. Morris-Now, are you not aware of the fact that that was the subject of discussion in the press! A. I have no doubt the Bacon letter was one of general interest. Q. I am not speaking of that, but of the fact of Mr echer's silence with reference to it? A. I don't recollect anything about that, specially.

Q. Haven't you stated before that it was! A. I think

mite likely that it was: I have no knowledge about ! THE ACTION OF THE COMMITTEE.

Q. Was Mr. Tilton present during the sessions of the Committee, except when he was making his statement, or being examined ! A. He was not, Sir. Q. He was without counsel ! A. What do you say, Sir Q. Did he have counsel? A. He did not, to my knowl-

Q. And he was not present at any of the meetings ex ent the one where he made his statement ! Mr. Shearman-I object. That question has been asked and disposed of.

Q. Now, Mr. Cleveland, Mr. Tilton was the pers d made the charges against Mr. Beecher ? A. He was. Q. The charges that you were investigating ! A. He Q. Mr. Hill attended the sessions of the Committee

A. He did.

ave no testimony.

Q. And Mr. Tracy ! A. He did.

Q. Did you consult Mr. Tilton in regard to the evidence at should be produced to sustain his charges ! A. We summoned every witness that he named to us, if I recol

Q. Did you summon Mr. Bowen ! A. We did not Q. He was named, wasn't he! A. I don't recollect that Q. Do you mean to say that he was not ! A. I don't

ollect that he was. Q. Do you mean to say that he was not? A. I would not swear that he was not. Q. Did you summon Mrs. Moulton ! A. We did not.

Was not she named !. A. She was not.

Q. Oh! Did he decline to give testimony

Q. Now, whom did he name, if you are so positive ! named Mrs. Bradshaw; he named Mr. Richards; do not recall-he named three or four persons. Q. Was Mrs. Bradshaw examined ! A. She was not.

Q. You are positive of that, are you? A. I will swear

Q. Was Mrs. Richards examined ! A. Mr. Richards Was Mrs. Richards examined? A. Mr. Richards Q. Was Mrs. Richards examined ! A. She was not. Q. Was Mr. Richards examined ! A. He was ex mined-he came before-Q. Has his testimony ever been published! A. He

Mr. Shearman—I object to that question.
Judge Neilson—Well, he gave no testimony ! The Witness-He did not. Mr. Shearman-What have we to do with Mr. Richards Mr. Morris-But you said a moment ago that Mr. Richards was examined? A. Well, he was invited-I did

Mr. Shearman-Never mind, Mr. Cleveland -Mr. Morris-Why was not be examined! Mr. Shearman-I object to that. Judge Neilson-That is objectionable, because or a reason. We simply want the fact that whether he was or was not examined.

Mr. Morris-Why didn't you, as a member of that Connittee, examine him! Mr. Shearman - Well! Mr. Morris-Well!-it is well; that is why I ask it

Laughter. Mr. Shearman -The Judge bas just ruled out that que

Mr. Morris-No, he has not; that question has not been -I understood your Honor to rule it out

Mr. Morris-Why didn't you examine Mr. Richards! A.

Mr. Morris-He says he doesn't know why he didn't.

To the Witness.] Didn't you think it of Importance tha

e should be examined? A. I don't recollect what I

Q. You didn't want to ascertain what he knew about it

Q. Naw, Mr. Cleveland, is the fact that Mr. Richards

was not examined before that Committee as you have

Q. Don't you know that there was a reason why he

not examined by that Committee, and that that reason

was stated by him-a reason why he was not exam

ined by the Committee, or by you as a member of that

Mr. Shearman-This is just the point that we had

before, your Honor. I think, for my part, that anybody

who listened to Mr. Eichards's testimony here would

need no reason in the world why he was not examin

before the Committee. He was not examined because

place before the Committee of Investigation.

had nothing to say. But, still, are we to go into the ques

tion of how claborriely his statement or his reasons were elicited by the Committee! He came in here and said that

Mr. Beach-Different minds will have a different im-

ression in regard to what Mr. Richards said, its sub-

stance and its effect; and when this counsel undertakes

to sum up upon that evidence in anticipal the

yet it will be found on rational and proper consideration

question that Mr. Richards is the most important and

Judge Neilson-One moment, gentlemen. New, I think, Mr. Morris, you cannot inquire as to the reasons, except

as applicable to the witness personally. That you may

Mr. Morris-As soon as Brother Shearman is through I

Mr. Beach-He has taken occasion, whenever he could

get an opportunity to speak, to sum up this case in frag

Mr. Morris-Now, I submit, your Honor, we have a

hat Committee and not examined, and we have a right

Judge Neilson-Yes, if the witness was connected with

Mr. Morris - Certainly. He, as a component part of that

Committee, participated in that action, and acquiesced

Judge Netlson-You can ask whether, speaking for him

self as a member of the Committee, he can tell why the

Mr. Shearman-No. That is the question that his Hono

Judge Neilson [to the witness]-Speaking for your

Mr. Morris-Do you know of your own knowledge why

Mr. Shearman—That is the question that has just been

Judge Neilson [to the witness]-The question is pu

with reference to your own personal action as a member of the Committee. Now, speaking with reference to your

Morris-Haven't I a right, your Honor, to have his

maxiades upon that subject! If he knows personally

Mr. Beach—That is the essence of it, Sir.
Mr. Morris—That is what I ask. [To the witness.] Now

Judge Neilson [to the witness]-And did you acquiesce

A. My recollection of that is that Mr. Richards knew

othing hearing upon that case upon which he could be

Q. Did not Mr. Richards absolutely decline to be exam-

Q. You say that he knew nothing; did he state that to

The Witness-I said personally, for myself, that my

recollection of that matter was that Mr. Richards had no testimon; to give to that Committee bearing upon that

Mr. Beach-How did you learn that! A. I don't recol-

Q. Well, you are asked how you learned it. Mr. Shearman-Who told you that! A. It probab

The Witness-If your Honor please, I cannot recollect

Judge Neilson—No, of course.

The Witness—I cannot undertake, at this distance from

that investigation, to state the reasons for the-in regard

Mr. Morris-We are not talking about that. We are

simply asking about one particular fact-namely, how

you knew Mr. Richards had nothing to say! A. I have

imply this recollection—that Mr. Richards made no

statement to the Committee, because he had none to

The Witness-I don't recollect how I knew it.
Judge Neilson-The other inquiry is how you knew

that! State, if you recoilect how it came to your know!

Mr. Morris-Was either of the counsel there at the time

that Mr. Richards was before the Committee ! A. I have

Q. Do you recollect Mr. Tracy being there! A. I don't

Q. Do you recollect his having an interview with Mr

Richards before he came before the Committee! A. I

Q. Mr. Cleveland, were you at the White Mountains!

What time! A. I left there the 4th day of Septem

Q. You were there during September ! A. No, Sir; I

was there a week.

Q. Was Mr. Beecher there at that time? A. He was.

Q. Did you go from there to Boston ! A. I came

Q. Was there a reason why you took that homeward route! A. There was.

Q. You went there for the purpose of seeing Mr. Moul-

Q. With reference to what ! A. In response mainly to

joint telegram from Gov. Claffin and Mr. Kennard of

Q. Did Mr. Beecher know of the object of your visit to

Q. Did he know the object of your going there! A.

Q. Do you mean to say that he did not ! A. He did not

know what was the object-how the object was to be ac-

Q. Did he know the object ! A. I insist, your Honor,

a telegram-two or three telegrams, from him, and

sten ! A. He knew that I was going to Boston.

ton ! A. I went there to see Mr. Redpath.

definitely all the details of that long examination.

ou! A. You asked my personal recollection!

g as a men

and individually why Mr. Richards was not examined

Mr. Shearman -No, no; his own personal reasons

Mr. Morris-Can you state why Mr. Righards was

ight to this fact. Mr. Elchards was summoned b

nents, and it is about time there was an end.

valuable witness that the plaintiff has produced

Mr. Beach (interrupting)-That is not so, Sir.

Mr. Shearman-I was only objecting-

Judge Neilson-One moment

Mr. Evarts-Of the case?

hat reason-his reason.

. Beach-Yes-and him, too.

to know why he was not examined.

ie was not before that Committee !

Morris-And his knowledge.

elf, answer the question.

myen't I a right to that fact !

Judge Neilson-Ask him that.

or examined by that Committee !

Mr. Shearman-I object to that

examined by the Committee.

Judge Neilson-Yes.

ame from him.

edge.

ect that precisely, except---

Mr. Beach-Probably ! *

O. What! A. That is my recollection.

Mr. Shearman-Well, that is an answer.

Mr. Beach-No, it is not an answer.

to the examination of the Committee.

Mr. Morris-How did you learn that !

The Witness- I don't recollect.

no clear recollection about that.

Q. In October last ! A. No. Sir.

ion't recollect what--

Boston on my way home.

Judge Neilson-That is an answer.

Mr. Riebards had nothing to say.

Mr. Shearman-The question is how

ned! A. Not in my presence, Sir.

Judge Neilson-Now, Mr. Cleveland,

Judge Neilson-I threw out the suggestion that inqui ies as to the reason why the thing was not done or ot to be received.

Mr. Mooris-But I change my question and make it pe onal to the witness

rour visiting Boston ! A. He knew that I was to go to Q. That does not answer my qu

dge Nellson-Let him go on and answer further. Mr. Morris-No. It is a simple question; did Mr. Seecher know (I ask for your knowledge now) the object

of your visit to Boston! A. He knew that Mr. Beach-We do not ask what the object was; we ask

Mr. Morris—Yes or no. Mr. Shearman—I submit that that does not admit of an answer yes or no. I suppose the difficulty in the wit-uess's mind is that Mr. Beacher knew a part of the object, out did not know all of it, did not know the details. Now the witnesses are being instructed by the counsel on the ther side that they must answer with great precision. Mr. Morris-You had a conversation with Mr. Beecher

fore going to Boston? A. I had, Sir. Q. About the purpose of your going to Boston? A. Yes,

Q. Now, was Mr. Beecher aware of your purpose of go-

ing to Boston ! A. He was.

Mr. Morris-There, it takes a good while to get at a simple answer. [To the witness.] Look at that paper [banding paper to witness]. A. I see it.

Q. Do you recollect such a telegram being sent? Q. Look at those fhanding witness other papers] and say if you know anything about them. Do you anything about this one? A. I cannot translate it. Sir. Q. Do you recollect such a dispatch being received the White Mountains 1 I recoilect there was a dispatch received at the White Mountains in Latin—if that is

[Mr. Shearman here made a whispered remark to

Mr. Beach-What was that remark !

he had nothing to say, and proved it; but I don't suppose that we are to go here into all the nonsense that took Mr. Morris-He asks if they are originals. Mr. Beach [to Mr. Morris] - Prove them by your wit Mr. Morris-Yes, I guess they are the originals.

Mr. Morris-Just look at this, Mr. Cleveland, and who sent this telegram ? Mr. Beach State whether this telegram pass The Witness-I cannot state that. Mr. Morris-Can you state whether this telegram

proper time, he ought to be answered by the that there is much of substance and interest in what Mr. Richpassed between the parties indicated—whether Beecher sent such a telegram † A. I cannot. ards said; and however much we may deplore the atti-Q. This one you say you know about ! A. I do didn't intend to say so, and did not, that his evidence carries a world of meaning upon the

main issue of this case, and the gentleman will find it out them, and know nothing about then before the case is closed.

Mr. Shearman—I made no comment further than to Mr. Redouth by Mr. Beecher ! quote from what Mr. Richards himself said. We do no Mr. Evarts-If your Honor please, this is not a proper

to be proved by the originals. Judge Neilson-If they can be found. Mr. Shearman-But he said himself that he had nothing Mr. Evaris-In the absence of the originals they can be shown by copies. These are not this witness's telegrams;

they are not to him or by him. Mr. Morris-That don't prove that he may not know of the fact that they were telegrams from Mr. Beecher. Mr. Evarts-It proves that he is not to speak cone

ng those telegrams until you lay the basis for it by showme the reason for not producing the originals. cause they are not in our possession. Mr. Shearman-I suppose most papers produced in case are not in the possession of a party. Get them by subpensa, the way we get our telegrams.

NEW DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE.

Mr. Morris-Just look at that again, Mr. Cleveland, and state whether you went to Boston with any document in your possession furnished by Mr. stopped at Boston at Mr. Beecher's request.

Q. Did you go with documentary power to act c

with his instructions.

Q. No, no. Did you go, in the language of this does ment, with full documentary power to act couchaively i If they ask whether he went with documentary power to act. I don't object; but if he asks whether he

go, in the language of this telegram, with full document ary power to acti Judge Neisson Counsel objects that this is inquiring into the contents of the paper. Suppose you limit you

Mr. Morris-Have you got the power-have you got the paper! A. I don't know.
Q. Where is it! A. I don't know where it is. Q. Can you produce it 1 A. I don't know whether I can

Q. How? A. I don't know; possibly. Mr. Morris-Then we will have the originals produced Now, I ask you if you did go-Mr. Shearman -We have got the paper. [Mr. Shearman here handed a paper to Mr. Morris.]

Mr. Morris-Is that the document [handing paper witness] ! A. That is the paper I took, Sir. Mr. Morris-We offer it in evidence Judge Neilson-Whose writing is 114 Mr. Morris-Mr. Beecher's.

Mr. Morris-[Reading :] SEPT. 4, 1874. To Whom it May Concern : I have To Whom it May Concern: I have requested and, then by M. Cleveland to proceed to Boston as my alternative and representative. I hereby authorize him to hear and determine, in my behalf, all matters whatsoever in relation to the scandals which have arisen, and I give him authority to sign my name to any arrangements and does ments which may, in his judgment, be needful, and I will accept his agreements as if made by myself.

HENRY WARD BERCHER.

[Paper marked Exhibit 122.] Mr. Morris-New, Mr. Cleveland, what scandal was referred to in this document ! A. That document referred

to Mr. Moulton's connection with this scandal. Q. What scandal does this document refer to 7. A. General scandal. Q. The one now under investigation? A. It referredthe paper referred to-

Q. To the scandal now under investigation! A. To Mr. Moulton's participation in the scandal. Q. Do you mean to say it referred alone to Mr. Moulton's connection with the scandal! A. I mean to say don't know what it referred to. That was given to me by Mr. Beecher as a credential that the Boston parties

who had anything to say might say it to me. Q. You mean to say that you don't know what scandal this referred to! A. I have no idea; I didn't write it. Q. Well, Mr. Cleveland, have you any idea now what scandal this referred to! A. It referred to telegraphic correspondence with Mr. Redpath. Q. And was that the scandal ! A. All I know of that

was that it was my credential to Mr. Redpath. Q. Credential to whom! Q. To Mr. Redpath. Q. For what purpose? A. To hear anything Q. You were sent simply to listen-that is all, is it?

that is the way you understood it! A. That was my authority-to hear Mr. Redpath. Q. To hear what he had to say ! A. My authority. Q. Your understanding of your mission to Boston to hear what Mr. Redpath had to say! A. I mean to say I had my instructions from Mr. Beecher. That was simply my authority-to hear what was to be said.

Q. To be said about what ! A. Anything that Mr. Redpath had to say.
Q. About what! A. Anything. Q. About anything? A. Yes, Str. Q. And you were not sent on for any specific purpose

A. I was requested to see Mr. Redpath. Q. For what purpose! Mr. Tracy-He is telling, I submit, Judge Neilson-Go on, Mr. Cleveland. The Witness-I was requested to stop in Boston, in re-

pense to this telegram from Gov. Claffin and others, to hear what Ma Redpath had to say to Mr. B growing out of the telegraphic correspondence. I letter was my authority to hear for Mr. Beecher. That instructions were given to me by Mr. Beechez. Q. Well, that was all that you was authorized to

(By consent of counsel this witness was requested to stand aside, to permit the examination of another EXAMINATION OF DR. JAMES L. LITTLE.

James L. Little, a witness called and sworn on behalf of the defendant, testifies as follows: Mr. Hill-Where do you reside! A. 266 West Fortysecond-st., New-York. Q. How long have you resided there! A. Since 1862.

Q. Your profession 1 A. Physician. How long have you been engaged in its practice! Fourteen years. Q. Did you ever reside in Brooklyn 1 A. I did.

Q. During what period! A. Up until 1872. Practicing here! A. No, Sir. Q. Do you know the parties to this suit, Mr. Tilton and

Mr. Beecher! A. I have seen them frequently, Sir. Q. Howlong have you known Mr. Tilton! A. I don't know, Sir; for many years I was not personally ac-Q. Were you a member of Plymouth Church at any upon making the statements, as they are true.

Q. Did or did not Mr. Bercher know of the object of

Q. Know him perfectly well? A. Yes, Sir.
Q. Now, Dr. Little, did you see the Communist pre-

this trial f A. I did.

not4 A. I did.

Q. Please state under what circumstances you saw -who was he with! A. He was in a carriage with a woman, who was pointed out to me at that time as I

Q. Did you see Mrs. Woodhull in that procession! A. There was a woman walking in front of the carriage that was pointed out to me as Mrs. Woodhull. Q. Immediately in front of the carriaget A. Yes Bic:

Q. Where was the procession at the time that you and it! A. Passing through Thirty-fourth-st., near State

Q. Let me ask you this: Did the whole procession p

Q. So that you saw all of it? A. Yes, Sir. Mr. Hill-That is all. Mr. Morris-That is all.

Mr. Beach-No, wait a moment. We want to get facts.

CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DR. LITTLE. Mr. Beach-Was this a covered or an open our riage! A. It was an open corrusge.
Q. How many persons were in it! A. I don't knee

thether any one occupied the front seat. Q. How many persons will you swear you saw in it

A. I only remember two persons in the carriage.
Q. A gentleman and indy! A. Yes, Sir. Q. Sitting on the back seat? A. Sitting on the bad

Q. And whereabouts was the carriage when you noticed those two persons? A. In what part of the proc do you meau!

Q. Whereabouts in the street? A. In Thirty-fourth-st. pear Sixth-ave. Q. In Thirty-fourth-et., near Sixth-ave.! A. Yes, Sir.

very near Dr. Taylor's Church.

Q. Which way was that ! A. Toward Sixth-ave. Q. Toward Sixth-ave.! A. Yes. Sir. The same direction with the pro-

Q. And did you observe the head of the procession as at me to you! A. I saw the head of the procession : was

know: I think not at the head. Q. Was it at the foot of the procession ! A. Not at # foot of the procession : it was near the head.

in this carriage? A. I could not be certain there was no some one on the front seat: I am not certain of that. ■Q. You say this lady you do remember was pointed you as Miss Claffin ! A. She was sitting in the best

lar lady on the back seat? A. Yes, Sit Q. What was it? A. To see Miss Claffin.

Q. Did you know him at the time? A. That I don't re-member. I met several gentlemen at the time I was in

Q. Then, if the designations were properly given to you, it was not Mrs. Woodhull who was in the carriage any other lady was there but Miss Cluffin ! A. No. Sir.

Q. Who was walking with Mrs. Woodhull ! A. I do ass remember. There might be some gentleman walking along side of her, but I don't remember about that.

Q. What sort of a flag! A. I think it was a red flag Q. Was there any symbol upon it—any inscription! That I don't remember, Sir.

Q. Did you ever speak to Mr. Tillou! A. I have been introduced to him several times.

Q. You have been introduced to him several times. When was the last time? A. When I was an attendant pon Mr. Beecher's church,

upon Mr. Becener's church.

Q. When was that f A. That was before 1862.
Q. You have not spoken to him since f A. No. Sir.
Q. Can you give any description of his epparel upon this occasion f A. No. Sir.
Q. You identified him from the appearance of Maclothes f A. Yes, Sir.
Q. You were standing still while the procession moved.

Mr. Beach-That is all. RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR. JAMES L. LITTLE.

two women personally † A. No, Sir.
Q. I will ask you, did you remark to any person, in the presence of Mr. Tilton, under the circumstances that you save named at that time or about that time

Judge Neilson-I don't think we can take that, Mr Mr. Hill-Well. Mr. Hill-Well.
Judge Neilson [to Witness]—That is all. [To the jury 4 Gentlemen, get ready to reduce. The jurors will please attend to-morrow morning at 11 e'clock.
The Court thereupon adjourned till to-day at 11 e'clock

An UNUSUAL SPRING CATCH OF SEAIS.

Pron The Battimore Sun, April 16.

A native of Newfoundland, now a resident of Battimore, yesterday received letters from St. John's, Newfoundland, which stated that on the 4th of April she steamer Greenland, the first of the seal fishery fleet, arrived there with 25,000 seals. The steamer Proteus arrived next day with 45,000 seals, the largest number ever brought by one vessel. This is stated to be the beat Spring for seals known for years on the Newfoundland coast, reports from the sealing grounds giving 20,000 to the steamer Bear, 27,000 to the Mismae, 15,000 to the Neptune, and a number of others in the fleet with full cargoes. There were 27 steamers and two sail vessels fitted out in St. John's prior to the 8th of March for the sealing business. These vessels have from 180 to 255 men each. The sealing ground reaches as far as 200 miles to the north of St. John's, and the seals were met to the number of many thousands on the ice, where they congregate, and where their young seals were met to the number of many thousands on the one seen in Baitlimore harbor several weeks since. The young seals are killed by being struck a blow on the nose with a "gaff," but the old seals are shot with heavy sealing guns. Their carcasses are dragged to the vessels, and at St. John's and other Newfoundland ports are readered into oil, and the skins cured and shipped, mostly to England, where they are made into boots, shoes, gloves and garments. The seal shughter continues from the middle of March to the latter part of April, when the too disappears and the seals take to the water. Old seals are rarge from part of the catch of 25,000, the pay of those engaged being secording to the catch. AN UNUSUAL SPRING CATCH OF SEALS.

AN INCIDENT IN CHARLOTTE CUSHMAN'S EARLY

ham Station Facket, which with selections that the head of the dock where State Street Block now stands. It was an afternoon when there was no school, and a girl, somewhat younger than the boy alluded to, was passing the half holiday in play near the store of her father. Venturing too near the edge of the dock she missed her footing and fell overboard, it being high water at the time she disappeared. No one saw her fall, but by accident the had noticed some bubbles in the water, and having just before seen the little miss on the wharf, isstantly took in the situation. Springing into the water he succeeded in bringing her to the surface, and calling for aid she was taken on shore and restored to her parent. This act of heroism saved the life of one who has become the most disfinguished. American actress of the age—a haly as highly respected for her moral worth and irreproachable private character as she is renowned all over the world for her eminent kistrionic achievements. Her rescuer is to-day one of our most estimable citizens, and less than a year ago acquainted the lady with the circumstances of her deliverance from a watery grave through his instrumentality—a fact she well remembered, although till then ignorant of the name of her preserver. Corn shovel had saved the life of Charlotte Cushman.

Q. Which way was it passing? A. It was passing to ward Sixth-ave. Q. And which way were you going! A. I was standing n the sidewalk, looking at the procession. Q. Which way did you go ! A. I don't remember; I think I went directly home after that.

Q. Did you accompany it 1 A. No, Str. Q. On the sidewalk, along † A. No, Sir. Q. Did it accompany you † A. I stood on the sidewalk

until the procession passed.

Q. Whereabouts was this carriage in reference to the Q. Was it at the head of the procession! A. I don't

Q. It was near the head! A. Yes, Sir. Q. What was in front of the procession-what was the eader-hip! A. I don't remember whether it was a hand Q. Are you quite certain that there were not two ladies

clusively in his behalf, or fully in his behalf! A. I went Q. You inquired whether it was Miss Cladin 1. A. She was pointed out to me as Miss Cladin by a gradients who was standing alongside there.
Q. Who was he! A. I don't remem

full documentary power, I object.

Mr. Morris [to the witness]—I ask whether you did a spoke to me or talked to me at that time. Q. And you say you saw a lady in front of the carriage n foot? A. Yes, Sir.

> Q. Could not have been! A. No, Sir-if the gention who pointed out to me these ladies gave me the right

Q What? A. I do not rem

Mr. Hill-Doctor, you did not know them

Mr. Reach-Objected to.

C. Did you see him there much ! A. Frequently.

seat with a gentleman whom I knew to be Mr. Tikon. Q. Yes, I understand that. If there had been a lady on the front seat, would you not also have inquired who she was! was there any object in inquiring for this parties

Q. And she was pointed out to you as Mrs. Woodhug

Q. Was she carrying anything ! A. She was carrying a

clothes! A. Yes, Sir.
Q. You were standing still while the procession moved in front of you? A. Yes, Sir.
Q. And past you! A. Yes, Sir.
Q. Mas there any other carriage in the procession! A. I do not remember, Sir.
Q. You don't remember any other, do you! A. No. Sir.
Q. You remember but one carriage! Do you know Mr.
Swinton—John Swinton! A. No. Sir.
Q. Do you know Mr. Young of the staff of The Herstal.
A. No. Sir.

More than 50 years ago a boy some 16 or 17 years of ago was at work one afternoon on the old "Hing-nam Station Packet," which will be remembered by some of our citizens as for years occupying a berth at the head of the dock where State Street Block now stands. It was

hear what Mr. Redpath had to say ? A. The letter shows